

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	xvi
<b>1.0 BACKGROUND .....</b>	1-1
<b>1.1 Introduction.....</b>	1-1
<b>1.2 Purpose and Scope of Investigation.....</b>	1-4
<b>1.3 Acknowledgments .....</b>	1-4
<b>1.4 Overview of Research Approach .....</b>	1-5
<b>1.5 General Description of Basin Characteristics .....</b>	1-8
<b>1.6 Characteristics of the Intensely Studied Streams .....</b>	1-9
1.6.1 Blackwood Creek.....	1-9
1.6.2 Edgewood Creek.....	1-10
1.6.3 General Creek .....	1-10
1.6.4 Incline Creek .....	1-11
1.6.5 Logan House Creek.....	1-12
1.6.6 Upper Truckee River.....	1-12
1.6.7 Ward Creek .....	1-13
<b>2.0 FIELD-DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS OF SEDIMENT-TRANSPORT DATA.....</b>	2-1
<b>2.1 Introduction.....</b>	2-1
<b>2.2 Cross-Section Surveys .....</b>	2-1
<b>2.3 Geotechnical Data for Analysis of Streambank Stability.....</b>	2-2
2.3.1 Borehole Shear Testing and Bulk Unit Weights.....	2-3
<b>2.4 Submerged Hydraulic Jet Testing: Erodibility of Fine-Grained Materials .....</b>	2-4
2.4.1 Bank-Toe Erodibility .....	2-7
<b>2.5 Texture of Bank and Bed Materials.....</b>	2-7
<b>2.6 Generation of Suspended-Sediment Rating Relations.....</b>	2-11
2.6.1 Introduction.....	2-11
2.6.2 Data Sources .....	2-11
2.6.3 Methods.....	2-12
2.6.4 Effect of the January 1997 Rain on Snow Event .....	2-13
2.6.5 Analysis of Shifts in Transport Ratings.....	2-14
<b>2.7 Suspended-Sediment Loads .....</b>	2-14
2.7.1 Total Suspended-Sediment Load Calculations .....	2-14
2.7.2 Fine-Load Calculations .....	2-15
2.7.3 Suspended-Sediment Yield Calculations .....	2-15
2.7.4 Recurrence Interval of the January 1997 Event .....	2-16
<b>2.8 General Description of AGNPS Modeling Technology .....</b>	2-20
2.8.1 Input Data Requirements .....	2-21
2.8.2 Contributions from Cells Adjacent to the Main Channel .....	2-22
2.8.3 Contributions from Tributaries into the Main Channel .....	2-22
<b>2.9 General Description of CONCEPTS Modeling Technology .....</b>	2-22
2.9.1 Hydraulics .....	2-22
2.9.2 Sediment transport and bed adjustment .....	2-23
2.9.3 Streambank Erosion .....	2-23
2.9.4 Input Data Requirements .....	2-23

---

<b>3.0</b>	<b>ANNUAL SUSPENDED-SEDIMENT LOADS AND YIELDS.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Availability and Reliability of Data.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Basin Quadrants and Index Stations .....</b>	<b>3-2</b>
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Total Annual Suspended-Sediment Loads .....</b>	<b>3-4</b>
3.4.1	Comparisons with Previously Published Data.....	3-9
3.4.2	Timing of Peak Annual Suspended-Sediment Loads .....	3-10
3.4.3	Suspended-Sediment Loads From The January 1-2, 1997 Runoff Event.....	3-10
3.4.4	Effect of January 1997 Runoff Event on Suspended-Sediment Transport Rates ..	3-14
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Total Annual Suspended-Sediment Yields .....</b>	<b>3-16</b>
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Fine-Grained Suspended-Sediment Loads and Yields .....</b>	<b>3-23</b>
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Intra-Basin Variations.....</b>	<b>3-28</b>
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Suspended-Sediment Transport from “Reference” and Disturbed Watersheds...<b>3-29</b></b>	
<b>3.9</b>	<b>Temporal Trends in Suspended-Sediment Delivery to Lake Tahoe .....</b>	<b>3-30</b>
3.9.1	Temporal Trends in Fine-Grained Loadings.....	3-34
3.9.2	Shifts in Suspended-Sediment Transport Ratings.....	3-36
<b>3.10</b>	<b>Summary of Temporal Trends Analysis.....</b>	<b>3-39</b>
<b>3.11</b>	<b>Relations Between Suspended Sediment Loads and Secchi Depth .....</b>	<b>3-39</b>
3.11.1	Suspended-Sediment Loads During May and June .....	3-40
3.11.2	Discussion .....	3-42
<b>4.0</b>	<b>CHANNEL EROSION AND BASIN GEOMORPHOLOGY.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Direct Comparison of Measured Cross Sections.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.2.1	Availability of Data.....	4-1
4.2.2	Calculation of Volumes Eroded or Deposited .....	4-3
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Reconnaissance Level Geomorphic Evaluations of Channel Erosion Areas .....</b>	<b>4-4</b>
4.3.1	Evaluation of Continuous Stream Lengths (Stream Walks) .....	4-4
4.3.2	Rapid Geomorphic Assessments (RGAs) .....	4-5
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Combined Stability Index.....</b>	<b>4-6</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Channel Changes With Time: Rates and Volumes of Streambank Erosion.....</b>	<b>4-9</b>
4.5.1	Upper Truckee River.....	4-11
4.5.2	Data for Analysis of Channel Migration (1940-1994).....	4-11
4.5.3	Analysis of Channel Lengths and Channel Activity .....	4-12
4.5.4	Sub-Reach Channel Activity Results.....	4-15
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Ground Reconnaissance: Results of RGAs and Stream Walks.....</b>	<b>4-17</b>
4.6.1	Upper Truckee River.....	4-17
4.6.2	Blackwood Creek.....	4-27
4.6.3	Ward Creek .....	4-34
4.6.4	General Creek .....	4-42
4.6.5	Incline Creek .....	4-50
4.6.6	Logan House Creek.....	4-56
4.6.7	Edgewood Creek .....	4-62
<b>4.7</b>	<b>Basin-Wide Evaluations of Channel Conditions.....</b>	<b>4-66</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>NUMERICAL MODELING OF GENERAL AND WARD CREEKS AND THE UPPER TRUCKEE RIVER.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>

---

<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Input Database for the AGNPS Model .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.2.1	GIS Database .....	5-1
5.2.2	AGNPS Arcview Interface Application.....	5-7
5.2.3	Watershed Segmentation .....	5-7
5.2.4	Weather Data .....	5-19
5.2.5	Landuse Data .....	5-25
5.2.6	Soil Properties.....	5-27
<b>5.3</b>	<b>CONCEPTS Model Setup .....</b>	<b>5-28</b>
5.3.1	Modeling Reach and Parameters .....	5-28
5.3.2	Tributary and Lateral Inflow.....	5-32
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Model Validation and 50-Year Simulation.....</b>	<b>5-34</b>
5.4.1	General Creek .....	5-34
5.4.2	Upper Truckee River.....	5-46
5.4.3	Ward Creek .....	5-64
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Summary.....</b>	<b>5-79</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>GIS ANALYSIS OF EROSION POTENTIAL FROM UPLAND AREAS .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Data Availability and Preparation .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.2.1	Soil-erodibility factor ( <i>k</i> -factor).....	6-2
6.2.2	Landuse .....	6-3
6.2.3	Paved and Unpaved Roads, Trails and Streams.....	6-5
6.2.4	Surficial Geology .....	6-6
6.2.5	Slope Steepness.....	6-7
6.2.6	Mean-annual precipitation .....	6-8
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Merging Data Classes: Upland Erosion-Potential Map .....</b>	<b>6-9</b>
6.3.1	Basinwide distribution .....	6-11
6.3.2	Determination of Areas Covered By Erosion Classes .....	6-11
6.3.3	Results.....	6-13
6.3.4	Limitations of Analysis.....	6-13
<b>7.0</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusions.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b>Future Research Needs.....</b>	<b>7-5</b>
<b>8.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
<b>9.0</b>	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
<b>9.1</b>	<b>Appendix A .....</b>	<b>9-2</b>
<b>9.2</b>	<b>Appendix B .....</b>	<b>9-10</b>
<b>9.3</b>	<b>Appendix F .....</b>	<b>9-31</b>
<b>9.4</b>	<b>Appendix G.....</b>	<b>9-48</b>

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. Trend of decreasing water clarity in Lake Tahoe as measured by secchi- depth for nearshore (top) and mid-lake stations (bottom). Raw data from Tahoe Research Group (TRG); Red lines denote 95% prediction limits.....	1-1
Figure 1-2. Map of Lake Tahoe Basin showing the 63 watersheds draining to the lake. Map obtained from the Tahoe Research Group (TRG).....	1-3
Figure 1-3. Map of the Lake Tahoe Basin showing the seven intensely studied watersheds. Numerical simulations were conducted on General and Ward Creeks, and the Upper Truckee River.....	1-7
Figure 2-1. Schematic representation of borehole shear tester (BST) used to determine cohesive and frictional strengths of <i>in situ</i> streambank materials. Modified from Thorne <i>et al.</i> , 1981. ....	2-2
Figure 2-2. Schematic of submerged jet-test device used to measure the erodibility coefficient $k$ , and the critical shear stress of fine-grained materials. ....	2-5
Figure 2-3. General relation between the erodibility coefficient $k$ , and critical shear stress $\tau_c$ for fine-grained materials based on hundreds of jet tests from across the United States (Hanson and Simon, 2001). ....	2-6
Figure 2-4. Spatial distribution of fine-grained bank materials.....	2-9
Figure 2-5. Example of two-section suspended-sediment rating relation.....	2-13
Figure 2-6. Example of three-section suspended-sediment rating relation.....	2-13
Figure 2-7. Pre and post January 1997 suspended-sediment rating curve: 10336770. ....	2-14
Figure 3-1. Map of Lake Tahoe watershed showing designation of the four basin quadrants.....	3-3
Figure 3-2. Distribution of median annual suspended-sediment loads in tonnes. ....	3-7
Figure 3-3. Median annual suspended-sediment loads for the 18 index stations sorted in descending order (upper) and, separated by basin quadrant (lower). ....	3-8
Figure 3-4. Temporal variability in total annual suspended-sediment loads for ten selected index stations in the four basin quadrants. ....	3-11
Figure 3-5. Magnitude-frequency analysis of annual, maximum-daily suspended-sediment loads for index stations on Blackwood Creek (10336660) and Incline Creek (10336700), showing widely varying return periods for the January 1, 1997 event.....	3-14
Figure 3-6. Examples of pre- and post-1997 suspended-sediment transport ratings for index station on Third Creek (10336698) showing flushing effect of January 1997 runoff event (A), and for Blackwood Creek (10336660) showing no discernable affect.....	3-15
Figure 3-7. Median annual suspended-sediment yields.....	3-19
Figure 3-8. Median annual suspended-sediment yields for the 18 index stations (A), and without those northern streams sampled only in the early 1970's (B). ....	3-21
Figure 3-9. Example relations between discharge and percent of suspended load finer than 0.062 millimeters for index stations on the Upper Truckee River, 10336610 (A), and Blackwood Creek, 10336660 (B).....	3-23
Figure 3-10. Spatial distribution of median, annual fine-grained suspended-sediment loads. ....	3-26
Figure 3-11. Spatial distribution of median, annual yields of fine-grained sediment. ....	3-27
Figure 3-12. Annual concentrations of suspended sediment obtained by dividing annual suspended-sediment load by annual runoff.....	3-32

---

<b>Figure 3-13. Shift in suspended-sediment transport ratings to lower loads at a given discharge across the range of discharges for the index station on Third Creek (10336698).3-38</b>	
<b>Figure 3-14. Shift in suspended-sediment transport ratings to lower loads at a given discharge across the range of discharges for the index station on Incline Creek (10336670) (A), and no discernable shift for index station on Blackwood Creek (10336660) (B).....3-39</b>	
<b>Figure 3-15. Linear regressions between fine suspended-sediment load and secchi depth for May and June using sum of the fine load for Ward Creek, Blackwood Creek and Upper Truckee River (A), and Ward Creek fine load only (B).....3-43</b>	
<b>Figure 3-16. Linear regression between fine load and change in secchi depth for May and June using sum of the fine load for Ward Creek, Blackwood Creek and Upper Truckee River (A), and Ward Creek fine load only (B).....3-44</b>	
<b>Figure 4-1. Denoted watersheds were the subject of detailed surveying and geomorphic assessments. ....4-2</b>	
<b>Figure 4-2. Examples of overlain surveys from Blackwood Creek, Upper Truckee River and General Creek.....4-4</b>	
<b>Figure 4-3. Map showing locations of the 304 rapid geomorphic assessments (RGAs) conducted in the Lake Tahoe Basin between September and November, 2002.....4-7</b>	
<b>Figure 4-4. Combined-stability index field form and ranking scheme. ....4-8</b>	
<b>Figure 4-5. Six stages of channel evolution from Simon and Hupp (1986) and Simon (1989) identifying Stages IV and V as those dominated by bank widening. ....4-9</b>	
<b>Figure 4-6. Percentage of left and right banks that are unstable along Blackwood Creek (upper) and along Logan House Creek (zero) (lower).....4-10</b>	
<b>Figure 4-7. Percentage of left and right banks failing along the Upper Truckee River...4-11</b>	
<b>Figure 4-8. Successive centerlines of the Upper Truckee River, 1941 – 1994. ....4-12</b>	
<b>Figure 4-9. Map of polygons resulting from analysis of time-series channel centerlines along a reach of the Upper Truckee River. ....4-13</b>	
<b>Figure 4-10. Upper Truckee River channel length results, 1941 – 1994.....4-14</b>	
<b>Figure 4-11. Upper Truckee River channel-activity results, 1941 – 1994.....4-15</b>	
<b>Figure 4-12. Channel activity for golf course and upstream sub-reaches, using valley length.....4-15</b>	
<b>Figure 4-13. Channel activity for golf course and upstream sub-reaches, using centerline start length.....4-16</b>	
<b>Figure 4-14. Map of the relative contribution of fine sediment from streambank erosion for the Upper Truckee River main stem.....4-19</b>	
<b>Figure 4-15. A typical reach rated “high” for fine-sediment contribution due to high silt containing banks and extensive failure length. The upper-meadow reach of the Upper Truckee River.....4-20</b>	
<b>Figure 4-16. Gravel-bedded aggrading reach composed of well-sorted gravel is rated “low” due to low bank heights, and coarse bed and bank material. Middle of the meandering pool-riffle reach above the golf course.....4-21</b>	
<b>Figure 4-17. Typical bank rated “moderate” in fine-sediment contribution due to large portion of coarse material in the bank.....4-22</b>	
<b>Figure 4-18. Results of rapid geomorphic assessments of the Upper Truckee River showing the relative contributions of channel- and side-slope indexes to the combined stability index, and critical erosion areas. ....4-24</b>	

---

Figure 4-19. Presence or absence of bank failures and the percent of the longitudinal extent of left and right banks undergoing active mass-wasting processes along the Upper Truckee River.....	4-25
Figure 4-20. Results of RGAs conducted along the Upper Truckee River showing the longitudinal distribution of the combined, channel and side-slope erosion indexes, and the percent of reaches undergoing streambank bank failures.....	4-26
Figure 4-21. Typical failing outside bend along the lower 4 km of Blackwood Creek. This site is rated “high” in fine-sediment availability due to the bank height and length of reach failing. Similar sites, with lower banks, are rated “moderate.” .....	4-27
Figure 4-22. Bedrock channel with a “low” fine sediment rating typical of the canyon reach of Blackwood Creek. ....	4-29
Figure 4-23. Map of the relative contribution of fine sediment from streambank erosion for Blackwood Creek. ....	4-30
Figure 4-24. Results of rapid geomorphic assessments of Blackwood Creek showing the relative contributions of channel- and side-slope indexes to the combined stability index and critical erosion areas.....	4-31
Figure 4-25. Presence or absence of bank failures and the percent of the longitudinal extent of left and right banks undergoing active mass-wasting processes along Blackwood Creek. ....	4-32
Figure 4-26. Results of RGAs conducted along Blackwood Creek showing the longitudinal distribution of the combined, channel and side-slope erosion indexes, and the percent of reaches undergoing streambank failures.....	4-33
Figure 4-27. Failing bank of fine beaver-pond deposits is rated “low” in fine sediment availability due to low bank height and dense roots fully penetrating bank. The ice axe is 0.75 m tall. Till plain/beaver meadow reach of Ward Creek. ....	4-36
Figure 4-28. Example of “high” erosion area along Ward Creek where stream has created a 12 m-high escarpment by meandering into the glacial till valley wall. ....	4-37
Figure 4-29. Map of the relative contribution of fine sediment from streambank erosion for Ward Creek. ....	4-38
Figure 4-30. Results of rapid geomorphic assessments of Ward Creek showing the relative contributions of channel- and side-slope indexes to the combined stability index and critical erosion areas.....	4-39
Figure 4-31. Presence or absence of bank failures and the percent of the longitudinal extent of left and right banks undergoing active mass-wasting processes along Ward Creek. ....	4-40
Figure 4-32. Results of RGAs conducted along Ward Creek showing the longitudinal distribution of the combined, channel and side-slope erosion indexes, and the percent of reaches undergoing streambank failures.....	4-41
Figure 4-33. Escarpment where stream has cut into high till terrace is rated as “high” in fine-sediment availability. Incised till reach, General Creek. ....	4-42
Figure 4-34. Typical bank rated “low” in fine-sediment availability. Bank is composed of cobble and boulder sized clasts with well established woody vegetation holding banks in place. General Creek. ....	4-44
Figure 4-35. Map of the relative contribution of fine sediment from streambank erosion for General Creek. ....	4-46

---

Figure 4-36. Results of rapid geomorphic assessments of General Creek showing the relative contributions of channel- and side-slope indexes to the combined stability index and critical erosion areas.....	4-47
Figure 4-37. Presence or absence of bank failures and the percent of the longitudinal extent of left and right banks undergoing active mass-wasting processes along General Creek. ....	4-48
Figure 4-38. Results of RGAs conducted along General Creek showing the longitudinal distribution of the combined, channel and side-slope erosion indexes, and the percent of reaches undergoing streambank failures.....	4-49
Figure 4-39. Typical channel along the upper reaches of Incline Creek. Dense alders and grass protect the low banks from eroding. ....	4-50
Figure 4-40. Lack of vegetation increases potential for erosion on the left bank along the urbanized reach of Incline Creek. ....	4-52
Figure 4-41. Map of the relative contribution of fine sediment from streambank erosion for Incline Creek. ....	4-53
Figure 4-42. Results of rapid geomorphic assessments of Incline Creek showing the relative contributions of channel- and side-slope indexes to the combined stability index and critical erosion areas.....	4-54
Figure 4-43. Presence or absence of bank failures and the percent of the longitudinal extent of left and right banks undergoing active mass-wasting processes along Incline Creek. ....	4-55
Figure 4-44. Results of RGAs conducted along Incline Creek showing the longitudinal distribution of the combined, channel and side-slope erosion indexes, and the percent of reaches undergoing streambank failures.....	4-56
Figure 4-45. Map of the relative contribution of fine sediment from streambank erosion for Logan House Creek. ....	4-57
Figure 4-46. Results of rapid geomorphic assessments of Logan House Creek showing the relative contributions of channel- and side-slope indexes to the combined stability index and critical erosion areas.....	4-59
Figure 4-47. Presence or absence of bank failures and the percent of the longitudinal extent of left and right banks undergoing active mass-wasting processes along Logan House Creek. ....	4-60
Figure 4-48. Results of RGAs conducted along Logan House Creek showing the longitudinal distribution of the combined, channel and side-slope erosion indexes, and the percent of reaches undergoing streambank failures.....	4-61
Figure 4-49. Results of RGAs conducted along Edgewood Creek showing the longitudinal distribution of the combined, channel and side-slope erosion indexes, and the percent of reaches undergoing streambank failures.....	4-64
Figure 4-50. Results of rapid geomorphic assessments of Edgewood Creek showing the relative contributions of channel- and side-slope indexes to the combined stability index and critical erosion areas.....	4-65
Figure 4-51. Presence or absence of bank failures and the percent of the longitudinal extent of left and right banks undergoing active mass-wasting processes along Edgewood Creek. ....	4-66
Figure 4-52. Spatial distribution of combined stability index for 304 sites. ....	4-68

---

<b>Figure 4-53. Channel-stability (left) and side-slope erosion indexes (right) used to distinguish relative contributions of sediment. ....</b>	<b>4-69</b>
<b>Figure 4-54. Percent of left and right banks that are failing (left), and the relative fine-grained (silt plus clay) content in the streambanks (right) to be used collectively as a measure of fine-sediment contributions from eroding streambanks. ....</b>	<b>4-70</b>
<b>Figure 4-55. Spatial distribution of stages of channel evolution. Stages I and VI are indicative of stability; stages IV and V are indicative of degradation and widening, and aggradation and widening, respectively.....</b>	<b>4-71</b>
<b>Figure 5-1. The Lake Tahoe watersheds with the digital elevation model (DEM) obtained from USGS at the 10 m by 10 m resolution for the Ward Creek, General Creek, and Upper Truckee River watersheds.....</b>	<b>5-3</b>
<b>Figure 5-2. The Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) GIS layer for General Creek watershed obtained from the USDA-NRCS.....</b>	<b>5-4</b>
<b>Figure 5-3. The National Land Cover Data (NLCD) based on images from 1990-1993 within the Ward Creek Watershed boundary.....</b>	<b>5-5</b>
<b>Figure 5-4. The University of California at Davis, Tahoe Research Group (TRG) landuse GIS layer for Ward Creek watershed where the dark brown color represents non-urban areas and the other colors are urban areas. ....</b>	<b>5-6</b>
<b>Figure 5-5. The General Creek generated watershed boundary (black line), digitized boundary (light green area), generated stream network (red line), and digitized stream network (blue line). ....</b>	<b>5-8</b>
<b>Figure 5-6. The first trial of the generation of AnnAGNPS cells for General Creek watershed. ....</b>	<b>5-9</b>
<b>Figure 5-7. The delineation of TOPAGNPS regions for use with various CSA and MSCL values within TOPAGNPS to develop a more detailed subdivision of the watershed for use as AnnAGNPS cells. Region 1 is indicated with white, Region 2 with blue, and Region 3 with red, and Region 4 is purple.....</b>	<b>5-10</b>
<b>Figure 5-8. The final generation of AnnAGNPS cells used for the General Creek watershed simulations. ....</b>	<b>5-11</b>
<b>Figure 5-9. The generated stream network (red) in comparison with the digitized streams (blue) with the General Creek watershed boundary (black), plus the location of the gage represented by the green dot at the top of the figure.....</b>	<b>5-12</b>
<b>Figure 5-10. The TOPAGNPS generated stream network for General Creek with the main channel simulated by CONCEPTS starting at the black dot and continuing to the outlet. .</b>	<b>5-13</b>
<b>Figure 5-11. The Upper Truckee River generated watershed boundary (black line) and digitized boundary (shaded area). ....</b>	<b>5-14</b>
<b>Figure 5-12. The first trial of the generation of AnnAGNPS cells for Upper Truckee River watershed. ....</b>	<b>5-14</b>
<b>Figure 5-13. The delineation of TOPAGNPS regions for use with various CSA and MSCL values within TOPAGNPS to develop a more detailed subdivision of the Upper Truckee River watershed for use as AnnAGNPS cells. Region 1 is indicated with white, Region 2 with red, and Region 3 with green, and Region 4 is blue. ....</b>	<b>5-15</b>
<b>Figure 5-14. The final generation of AnnAGNPS cells used for the Upper Truckee River watershed simulations. ....</b>	<b>5-16</b>

---

Figure 5-15. The Ward Creek generated watershed boundary (black line) and digitized boundary (red line). ....	5-17
Figure 5-16. The first trial of the generation of AnnAGNPS cells for Ward Creek watershed. ....	5-18
Figure 5-17. The delineation of TOPAGNPS regions for use with various CSA and MSCL values within TOPAGNPS to develop a more detailed subdivision of the Ward Creek watershed for use as AnnAGNPS cells. Region 1 is indicated with white, Region 2 with red, and Region 3 with blue. ....	5-18
Figure 5-18. The final generation of AnnAGNPS cells used for the Ward Creek watershed simulations. ....	5-19
Figure 5-19. Climate stations from the NRCS SNOTEL sites used in the AnnAGNPS simulations. ....	5-20
Figure 5-20. Annual precipitation measured at the Ward, Echo Peak, Tahoe City, and Hagan's Meadow climate stations. ....	5-21
Figure 5-21. Climate files assigned to AnnAGNPS cells based on the isopluvial lines (Sierra Hydrotech, 1986) of Upper Truckee River watershed. ....	5-22
Figure 5-22. Climate files assigned to AnnAGNPS cells based on the iso-pluvial lines of Ward Creek watershed. ....	5-23
Figure 5-23. Average daily temperature lapse rate between Ward and Tahoe City climate station for Ward Creek watershed. ....	5-24
Figure 5-24. Average daily temperature lapse rate between Daggett Pass and Glenbrook climate stations for General Creek and Upper Truckee River watersheds. ....	5-24
Figure 5-25. Landuse assigned to each AnnAGNPS cell for General Creek watershed. See Table 5-4 for definition of symbols. ....	5-25
Figure 5-26. Landuse assigned to each AnnAGNPS cell for Upper Truckee River watershed. See Table 5-4 for definition of symbols. ....	5-26
Figure 5-27. Landuse assigned to each AnnAGNPS cell for Ward Creek watershed. See Table 5-4 for definition of symbols. ....	5-26
Figure 5-28. Modeling reach and cross section locations along General Creek. Cross section transects are shown in black. ....	5-28
Figure 5-29. Modeling reach and cross section locations along the Upper Truckee River. Cross section transects are shown in black. ....	5-29
Figure 5-30. Modeling reach and cross section locations along Ward Creek. Cross section transects are shown in black. ....	5-30
Figure 5-31. Hydrograph shape of typical snowmelt runoff events. NRCS (1996) triangular hydrograph (red line) is superimposed on the measured discharge record. Discharge data is from USGS gaging station 10336674 on Ward Creek. ....	5-31
Figure 5-32. Linkage between AnnAGNPS reaches and cells (Figure 5-8) and CONCEPTS cross sections for General Creek. (The last digit of the cell ID (a 2 or a 3) is omitted.) ...	5-32
Figure 5-33. Linkage between AnnAGNPS reaches and cells (Figure 5-14) and CONCEPTS cross sections for the Upper Truckee River. (The last digit of the cell ID (a 2 or a 3) is omitted.) ....	5-33
Figure 5-34. Linkage between AnnAGNPS reaches and cells (Figure 5-18) and CONCEPTS cross-sections for Ward Creek. (The last digit of the cell ID (a 2 or a 3) is omitted.) ....	5-34

---

Figure 5-36. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured yearly runoff from 1981-2000 at station 10336645, General Creek watershed.....	5-35
Figure 5-35. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured yearly runoff at the USGS gaging station 10336645 and the yearly precipitation from the Tahoe City climate station used within the simulation of the General Creek watershed.....	5-35
Figure 5-37. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly runoff during 1981-2000 at the station 10336645, General Creek watershed.....	5-36
Figure 5-38. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured yearly sediment at station 10336645, General Creek watershed.....	5-37
Figure 5-39. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly fine sediment during 1981-2000 at the USGS gaging station 10336645 at General Creek watershed. ....	5-38
Figure 5-40. Average annual runoff simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on General Creek watershed.....	5-38
Figure 5-41. Average annual erosion simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on General Creek watershed.....	5-39
Figure 5-43. Comparison of observed and simulated cross-sectional changes at: A) CONCEPTS cross section 4 and NH60, B) CONCEPTS cross section 6 and NH70, and C) CONCEPTS cross section 13 and NH90.....	5-41
Figure 5-44. Comparison of measured and simulated monthly loads of fines (clay and silts), sands, and total suspended sediments at station 10336645, General Creek.....	5-42
Figure 5-45. Comparison of measured and simulated annual loads at station 10336645, General Creek. ....	5-43
Figure 5-46. Comparison of measured and simulated annually-averaged monthly sediment loads and runoff at USGS gaging station 10336645 in General Creek. ....	5-44
Figure 5-47. Simulated changes in top width and bed elevation along General Creek over a 50-year period.....	5-45
Figure 5-48. Simulated annual runoff and loads of fines, sands, and total suspended sediments at the outlet of General Creek for the 50-year simulation. ....	5-46
Figure 5-49. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured annual runoff at the upstream station (10336580) of the Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-47
Figure 5-50. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured annual runoff at the mid-reach gaging station 103366092 of the Upper Truckee River watershed. ....	5-48
Figure 5-51. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured annual runoff at the downstream station 10336610 of the Upper Truckee River watershed. ....	5-48
Figure 5-52. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly runoff during 1991-2000 at upstream station 10336580, Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-49
Figure 5-53. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly runoff during 1991-2000 at mid-reach station 103366092, Upper Truckee River watershed. ....	5-50
Figure 5-54. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly runoff during 1981-2000 at the downstream station 10336610, Upper Truckee River watershed. ....	5-50
Figure 5-55. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured annual sediment loads at the upstream station 10336580, Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-51
Figure 5-56. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured yearly sediment loads at the mid-reach station 103366092, Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-52
Figure 5-57. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured yearly sediment loads at the downstream station 10336610, Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-53

---

Figure 5-58. Average annual runoff simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-53
Figure 5-59. Average annual erosion simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-54
Figure 5-60. Average annual sediment yield simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on Upper Truckee River watershed.....	5-54
Figure 5-61. Comparison of observed and simulated cross-sectional changes at: A) CONCEPTS cross section 19 and 36, B) CONCEPTS cross section 23 and 50, and C) CONCEPTS cross section 26 and 61.....	5-57
Figure 5-62. Comparison of measured and simulated mean-monthly total suspended sediments for USGS Gages 103366092 (A) and 10336610 (B), for the periods 1991-2001 and 1981-2001, respectively.....	5-58
Figure 5-63. Comparison of measured and simulated annual loads at mid-reach gage 103366092 (A) and downstream gage 10336610 (B) for the period of 1991-2001 and 1981-2001, respectively.....	5-59
Figure 5-64. Comparison of measured and simulated annually-averaged monthly sediment loads and runoff for stations 103366092 (A) and 10336610 (B).....	5-62
Figure 5-65. Simulated changes in bank top-width and bed elevation of the Upper Truckee River over a 50-year period.....	5-63
Figure 5-66. Simulated annual runoff and loads of fines, sands, and total suspended sediments delivered to the lake for the 50-year period.....	5-64
Figure 5-67. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured annual runoff at station 10336674, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-66
Figure 5-68. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured annual runoff at station 10336675, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-66
Figure 5-69. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured annual runoff at station 10336676, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-67
Figure 5-70. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly runoff during 1991-2000 at the upstream station 10336674, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-69
Figure 5-71. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly runoff during 1991-2000 at the middle station 10336675, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-69
Figure 5-72. AnnAGNPS simulated versus measured monthly runoff during 1981-2000 at the downstream station 10336676, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-70
Figure 5-73. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured yearly sediment at the upstream station 10336674, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-70
Figure 5-74. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured yearly sediment at the USGS gaging station 10336675, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-71
Figure 5-75. AnnAGNPS simulated and measured yearly sediment at the downstream station 10336676, Ward Creek watershed.....	5-71
Figure 5-76. Average annual runoff simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on Ward Creek watershed.....	5-72
Figure 5-77. Average annual erosion simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on Ward Creek watershed.....	5-72
Figure 5-78. Average annual sediment yield simulated from AnnAGNPS for each cell on Ward Creek watershed.....	5-73

<b>Figure 5-79. Comparison of measured and simulated mean-monthly loads of fines (clay and silts), sands, and total suspended sediment at Ward Creek.</b>	<b>5-73</b>
<b>Figure 5-80. Comparison of measured and simulated annual loads at Ward Creek.</b>	<b>5-75</b>
<b>Figure 5-81. Comparison of measured and simulated annually-averaged monthly sediment loads and runoff at Ward Creek.</b>	<b>5-77</b>
<b>Figure 5-82. Simulated changes in bank top-width and bed elevation of Ward Creek over a 50-year period.</b>	<b>5-78</b>
<b>Figure 5-83. Simulated annual runoff and loads of fines, sands, and total suspended sediments at the outlet of Ward Creek for the 50-year simulation.</b>	<b>5-79</b>
<b>Figure 6-1. Distribution of soils categories (left) and assigned class values for soil-erodibility (<i>k</i>-factor) (right).</b>	<b>6-3</b>
<b>Figure 6-3. Distribution of landuse/landcover (left) and assigned class values for potential erodibility based on the characteristics shown in Table 6-3 (right).</b>	<b>6-5</b>
<b>Figure 6-4. Distribution of surficial geology (left) and assigned class values for potential erodibility based on the characteristics shown in Table 6-5 (right).</b>	<b>6-7</b>
<b>Figure 6-5. The digital elevation model (left) used to derive the slope-steepness layer (right) for the Lake Tahoe Basin.</b>	<b>6-8</b>
<b>Figure 6-6. Isopluvial lines representing mean-annual precipitation for the Lake Tahoe Basin. Original data digitized from hard copy of Sierra Hydrotech (1986).</b>	<b>6-9</b>
<b>Figure 6-7. Map of upland-erosion potential for the Lake Tahoe Basin obtained by summing the classed values of each of the five selected parameters and reclassifying at a scale of 1 to 5. Areas colored in red and orange represent zones of high upland-erosion potential.</b>	<b>6-10</b>
<b>Figure 6-8. Relation between high upland-erosion potential and median, annual suspended-sediment yield.</b>	<b>6-14</b>

---

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. BST values obtained for General Creek.....	2-3
Table 2-2. BST values obtained for Incline Creek.....	2-4
Table 2-3. BST values obtained for Ward Creek.....	2-4
Table 2-4. BST and submerged jet-test values obtained for the Upper Truckee River....	2-6
Table 2-5. Average percentage of fine-grained material contained in the banks of each modeled watershed.....	2-8
Table 2-6. Total number of particle-size samples taken for each stream.....	2-10
Table 2-7. Sources other than USGS Web sites with suspended-sediment data.....	2-11
Table 2-8. Summary of suspended-sediment transport data used to generate rating relations. Note: n = number of samples. ....	2-16
Table 2-9. List of number of rating relations and sections used to calculate daily, monthly, and annual suspended-sediment transport rates. ....	2-18
Table 2-11. Post-1997 suspended-sediment rating relations calculated from measured instantaneous flow and concentration data. ....	2-20
Table 3-1. List of index stations used to differentiate suspended-sediment loads and yields to Lake Tahoe from individual watersheds. ....	3-3
Table 3-2. Summary of total annual suspended-sediment loads calculated from measured data. Sites shaded in green are index stations. ....	3-4
Table 3-3. Comparison of published, average annual suspended-sediment loads unless labeled otherwise. All data expressed in tonnes per year.....	3-9
Table 3-4. Maximum-daily and instantaneous peak discharge for the January 1-2, 1997 runoff event ranked by recurrence interval. ....	3-12
Table 3-5. Maximum-daily loads for the January 1-2, 1997 runoff event ranked by recurrence interval.....	3-12
Table 3-6. Summary of significant statistical relations indicating decreasing suspended-sediment loads across the range of discharges following the January 1-2, 1997 runoff event. ....	3-15
Table 3-7. Total annual suspended-sediment yields. Stations shaded in green are index stations. ....	3-17
Table 3-8. Annual suspended-sediment loads per unit runoff (annual sediment concentrations). Rows shaded in gray have short periods of record and are not included in calculations of the median values for their respective quadrant.....	3-22
Table 3-9. Summary of annual fine-grained suspended-sediment loads to Lake Tahoe calculated from measured data. Stations highlighted in green are index stations.....	3-24
Table 3-10. Summary of annual fine-grained suspended-sediment yields from Lake Tahoe watersheds. Stations highlighted in green are index stations. ....	3-25
Table 3-11. Median annual suspended-sediment concentrations for stations along five Lake Tahoe streams. All data expressed in grams of sediment per cubic meter of water (annual concentration). Numbers in parentheses are percent change from next station upstream. ....	3-29
Table 3-12. Reference stations selected for each of the four basin quadrants. ....	3-30
Table 3-13. Summary statistics of analysis of temporal trends in annual concentration (in g/m <sup>3</sup> ). ....	3-33

---

<b>Table 3-14. Summary statistics of analysis of temporal trends in mean-daily concentrations (in g/m<sup>3</sup>). Stations highlighted in pale yellow signify no discernable trend.....</b>	<b>3-33</b>
<b>Table 3-15. Summary of statistical analysis of temporal trends in fine-grained median, annual concentrations of suspended sediment (in g/m<sup>3</sup>). .....</b>	<b>3-35</b>
<b>Table 3-16. Summary of statistical analysis of temporal trends in fine-grained daily concentrations of suspended sediment (in g/m<sup>3</sup>). .....</b>	<b>3-35</b>
<b>Table 3-17. Comparison of suspended-sediment transport ratings for different periods for index stations on three north quadrant streams. ....</b>	<b>3-36</b>
<b>Table 3-18. Decision matrix using Type I and III sum of squares tests to determine if shifts in suspended-sediment transport ratings are statistically significant for four northern quadrant streams. ....</b>	<b>3-37</b>
<b>Table 3-19. Duration of secchi-disk data. ....</b>	<b>3-40</b>
<b>Table 3-20. Average peak flows for May and June.....</b>	<b>3-41</b>
<b>Table 3-21. Summary statistics for relations between two secchi-depth parameters and several sediment-load parameters using the sum of loads during May and June. ....</b>	<b>3-41</b>
<b>Table 3-22. Summary statistics for relations between two secchi-depth parameters and several sediment-load parameters using June data only.....</b>	<b>3-41</b>
<b>Table 4-1. Summary of historical cross-section data available for this study.....</b>	<b>4-2</b>
<b>Table 4-2. Results of analysis of historical and contemporary channel cross-section surveys for the five streams with historical data. Positive values denote erosion; negative values denote deposition.....</b>	<b>4-10</b>
<b>Table 4-3. Time periods of polygons used in Upper Truckee River area analysis. ....</b>	<b>4-13</b>
<b>Table 4-4. Upper Truckee River channel-lengths.....</b>	<b>4-13</b>
<b>Table 4-5. Upper Truckee River active-area analysis. ....</b>	<b>4-14</b>
<b>Table 4-6. Channel Activity in upstream and golf course sections of the Upper Truckee River.....</b>	<b>4-16</b>
<b>Table 4-7. Summary of reconnaissance-level evaluation of areas of streambank instability and delivery of fine-grained sediments along the Upper Truckee River.....</b>	<b>4-17</b>
<b>Table 4-8. Summary of reconnaissance-level evaluation of areas of streambank instability and delivery of fine-grained sediments along Blackwood Creek.....</b>	<b>4-28</b>
<b>Table 4-9. Summary of reconnaissance-level evaluation of areas of streambank instability and delivery of fine-grained sediments along Ward Creek. ....</b>	<b>4-34</b>
<b>Table 4-10. Summary of reconnaissance-level evaluation of areas of streambank instability and delivery of fine-grained sediments along General Creek. ....</b>	<b>4-43</b>
<b>Table 4-11. Summary of reconnaissance-level evaluation of areas of streambank instability and delivery of fine-grained sediments along Incline Creek.....</b>	<b>4-51</b>
<b>Table 4-12. Summary of reconnaissance-level evaluation of areas of streambank instability and delivery of fine-grained sediments along Logan House Creek. ....</b>	<b>4-58</b>
<b>Table 4-13. Summary of reconnaissance-level evaluation of areas of streambank instability and delivery of fine-grained sediments along Edgewood Creek. ....</b>	<b>4-62</b>
<b>Table 5-1. The TOPAGNPS critical source area (CSA) and minimum source channel length (MSCL) parameters used for each of the four regions defined for the final subdivision of the watershed into AnnAGNPS cells. ....</b>	<b>5-10</b>
<b>Table 5-2. The TOPAGNPS critical source area (CSA) and minimum source channel length (MSCL) parameters used for each of the four regions defined for the final subdivision of the Upper Truckee River watershed into AnnAGNPS cells. ....</b>	<b>5-15</b>

---

Table 5-3. The TOPAGNPS critical source area (CSA) and minimum source channel length (MSCL) parameters used for each of the three regions defined for the final subdivision of the Ward Creek watershed into AnnAGNPS cells.....	5-19
Table 5-4. SCS curve numbers for the Lake Tahoe Basin watershed simulations by land cover class.....	5-27
Table 5-5. Comparison of measured and simulated annual peak discharge at USGS gaging station 10336645 on General Creek. Values are in cubic meters per second.....	5-39
Table 5-6. Relative contributions of uplands and streambanks to suspended sediment load at the outlet of General Creek for the validation period.....	5-42
Table 5-7. Relative contributions of uplands and streambanks to suspended-sediment load at the outlet of General Creek over the 50-year simulation period.....	5-44
Table 5-8. Comparison of measured and simulated annual peak discharge at USGS gaging station 10336580. Values are in cubic meters per second.....	5-55
Table 5-9. Comparison of measured and simulated annual peak discharge at USGS gaging station 103366092. Values are in cubic meters per second.....	5-55
Table 5-10. Comparison of measured and simulated annual peak discharge at USGS gaging station 10336610. Values are in cubic meters per second.....	5-55
Table 5-11. Relative contributions of uplands and streambanks to suspended sediment load during validation period, Upper Truckee River.....	5-60
Table 5-12. Relative contributions of uplands and streambanks to suspended sediment load over the 50-year simulation period .....	5-64
Table 5-13. Comparison of measured and simulated annual peak discharge at USGS gaging station 10336674. Values are in cubic meters per second.....	5-68
Table 5-14. Comparison of measured and simulated annual peak discharge at USGS gaging station 10336675. Values are in cubic meters per second.....	5-68
Table 5-15. Comparison of measured and simulated annual peak discharge at USGS gaging station 10336676. Values are in cubic meters per second.....	5-68
Table 5-16. Relative contributions of uplands and streambanks to suspended sediment load at the outlet of Ward Creek for the validation simulation.....	5-76
Table 5-17. Relative contributions of uplands and streambanks to suspended sediment load at the outlet of Ward Creek for the 50-year simulation.....	5-76
Table 6-1. Original Soil <i>k</i> -factors and assigned soils <i>k</i> -factor.....	6-3
Table 6-2. Landuse/landcover classification levels (LULC).....	6-4
Table 6-3. Landuse/landcover erodibility potential.....	6-4
Table 6-4. Erodibility classes assigned to roads, trails and streams in the Lake Tahoe Basin.....	6-5
Table 6-5. Geology-erodibility classes.....	6-6
Table 6-6. Slope classes for the Lake Tahoe Basin.....	6-7
Table 6-7. Mean-annual precipitation classes in the Lake Tahoe Basin.....	6-9
Table 6-8. Percentage of the area of each watershed draining to Lake Tahoe covered by the two highest upland-erosion potential classes (percentage of red plus orange areas in Figure 6-7).....	6-11
Table 7-1. Average annual contributions of streambank materials expressed in m <sup>3</sup> /y/km.....	7-3